<u>Christophel v New York-Presbyterian/Weil Med. Coll. of Cornell Univ. Anesthesiology</u> <u>Residency Training Program</u>

Supreme Court of New York, Appellate Division, First Department

April 23, 2019, Decided; April 23, 2019, Entered

9079, 154413/13, 595632/14

Reporter

171 A.D.3d 611 *; 97 N.Y.S.3d 462 **; 2019 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3013 ***; 2019 NY Slip Op 03011 ****; 2019 WL 1768470

[****1] Thomas H. Christophel, etc., Plaintiff-Appellant, v New York-Presbyterian/Weil Medical College of Cornell University Anesthesiology Residency Training Program, et al., Defendants, Bridge Back to Life, Defendant-Respondent. Bridge Back to Life Center, Inc., Third-Party Plaintiff-Respondent, The New York Presbyterian Hospital, Third-Party Defendant.

Notice: THE LEXIS PAGINATION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING RELEASE OF THE FINAL PUBLISHED VERSION. THIS OPINION IS UNCORRECTED AND SUBJECT TO REVISION BEFORE PUBLICATION IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS.

Prior History: <u>Christophel v. New York-</u> <u>Presbyterian/Weil Med. Coll. of Cornell Univ.</u> <u>Anesthesiology Residency Training Program, 2018 N.Y.</u> <u>Misc. LEXIS 213 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., Jan. 19, 2018)</u>

Counsel: [***1] Leland T. Williams, Rochester, for appellant.

Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP, New York (Peter T. Shapiro of counsel), for respondent.

Judges: Renwick, J.P., Gische, Webber, Singh, JJ.

Opinion

limited by the briefs, granted the motion of defendant/third-party plaintiff Bridge Back to Life Center, Inc., for summary judgment dismissing the complaint as against it, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

The record amply demonstrates that decedent repeatedly denied suicidal ideation, and none of her treating doctors, family, friends, or colleagues had any suspicion that she was at risk of suicide. Since there was no indication that decedent was suicidal, and both parties' experts agreed that decedent's decision to commit suicide was an "impulsive" act, Bridge Back to Life Center cannot be held liable for decedent's death as a foreseeable injury of the alleged negligence (Morillo v New York City Health & Hosps. Corp., 166 AD3d 525, 87 N.Y.S.3d 158 [1st Dept 2018]; see also Hain v Jamison, 28 NY3d 524, 531-532, 46 N.Y.S.3d 502, 68 N.E.3d 1233 [2016]; Cygan v City of New York, 165 AD2d 58, 67, 566 N.Y.S.2d 232 [1st Dept 1991], lv denied 78 N.Y.2d 855, 578 N.E.2d 443, 573 N.Y.S.2d 645 [1991]).

We have considered plaintiff's remaining contentions and find them unavailing.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT. [***2]

ENTERED: APRIL 23, 2019

[*612] [**462] Order, Supreme Court, New York County (Joan A. Madden, J.), entered on or about January 22, 2018, which, to the extent appealed from as

End of Document